IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 1330 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

DILIPBHAI RATILAL LODHIA AND ANOTHER

Versus

DHIRAJLAL RATILAL LODHIYA

==========

Appearance :
MR SANDEEP N BHATT for the applicants.
MR SURESH M SHAH for the opponent.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date : 06/03/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

By filing this Revision Application, the petitioners have challenged the judgment and order dated 29.10.2001 passed by the 6th Extra Assistant Judge, Rajkot in Civil Misc. Application No. 134 of 2001 by which the learned Judge

has rejected the application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal.

Learned advocate for the respondent pointed out to the Court that the dispute between the parties is settled outside the Court. Learned advocate Mr. Sandeep Bhatt for the opponent submitted that he has also contacted the trial Court advocate who has also informed him that this dispute between the parties is settled. Mr. Bhatt further submitted that the dispute was between brothers.

In view of the statement made at the bar by learned advocates that the dispute between the parties is settled outside the Court, this Revision stands disposed of as infructuous. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

[P. B. MAJMUDAR, J.]

mathew